

#### NOTICE OF REMOVAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441 and 1446, Defendant Marine Biological Laboratory ("Defendant") hereby removes the action entitled David L. Fish, Jr. v. Marine Biological Laboratory, Civil Action Number 05-00382, pending in the Barnstable County Superior Court Department of the Trial Court in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts (the "State Court Action") to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, because Plaintiff's Complaint asserts federal claims for violation of the Federal Equal Pay Act ("FEPA") and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII").

As grounds for removal, Defendant states the following:

1. On July 12, 2005, Plaintiff David L. Fish, Jr. ("Plaintiff") filed a Complaint in the State Court Action alleging gender discrimination in employment based on compensation differentials. A true and correct copy of the Complaint and Summons are attached hereto collectively as Exhibit 1.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jonathan D. Rosenfeld hereby certify that on August 4, 2005, I caused a copy of the foregoing Notice of Removal to be served, by first class mail, upon plaintiff, David L. Fish, Jr., 63 Harriett Road, E. Falmouth, MA 02536.

Jonathan D. Rosenfeld

# Commonwealth of Massachusetts Barnstable Superior Court

David L. Fish Jr.

Civil Action

. ...

V.

No. 05-382

Marine Biological Laboratory

#### Complaint

#### **Parties**

- The plaintiff is a resident of 63 Harriette Road East Falmouth, Barnstable County, Massachusetts and a citizen of the United States. The plaintiff has been employed by the defendant as a Watchperson since June 19, 1988.
- The defendant is a private, non-profit research and educational institution located at 7 MBL Street Woods Hole, Barnstable County, Massachusetts. The defendant currently employs a year-round staff of more than 275 scientists and support staff.

#### Jurisdiction

3. This court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the Equal Pay Act of 1963, as amended, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, and the applicable laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

#### **Facts**

4. On January 25, 2004, the plaintiff complained to Jane MacNeil, the defendant's EEO Coordinator, of what the plaintiff believed to be a pay disparity. More specifically, the plaintiff complained that the Watchpersons (all male) working under Mary Beckwith's supervision on the Swope Office shift are paid at a lower Grade 6 pay rate while the Front Office Assistants (all female) are paid at a higher Grade 7 pay rate, even though both are performing similar (and nearly identical), as well as substantially equal positions.

- 5. On January 28, 2004, the plaintiff complained to Jane MacNeil, the defendant's EEO Coordinator, that differences between the work performed by the Watchpersons and the Front Office Assistants within the Swope Office were the result of glass ceiling type practices instituted by Mary Beckwith. The plaintiff complained that Mary Beckwith discriminatorily denied the opportunity for the male Watchpersons to receive the same training that the female Front Office Assistants have received and has discriminatorily denied the male Watchpersons the opportunity to assume the same responsibilities borne by the female Front Office Assistants.
- 6. On February 10, 2004, Jane MacNeil sent the plaintiff a letter and informed the plaintiff that there was a difference in work assignments, level of effort, and responsibility required of the Watchpersons versus the Front Office Assistants which was the basis for the difference in pay. The plaintiff does not agree with this. The Watchpersons perform nearly the same duties as the Front Office Assistants, with the exception of daily audits, data entry, and running reports. Moreover, Mary Beckwith has denied the male Watchpersons the same training given to the Front Office Assistants that would enable them to do these tasks. Additionally, Mary Beckwith's discriminatory procedures for assigning work are also limiting the plaintiff's opportunity to receive the higher Grade 7 Front Office Assistant pay rate.
- 7. Since making the complaint to Jane MacNeil, the plaintiff has been retaliated against for making the complaint by experiencing a reduction in overtime hours that are assigned by Mary Beckwith, with most of the overtime being given to the female Front Office Assistants and other female workers that work under Mary Beckwith's supervision in the Swope Office.
- 8. On January 4, 2005, the plaintiff made a Charge of Discrimination against the defendant to the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.
- 9. On April 15, 2005., the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission mailed the plaintiff a Notice of Dismissal and Right to Sue
- 10. The plaintiff still believes that he is being discriminated against by Mary Beckwith because of his sex, male, and retaliated against for his complaints of what he feels are discriminatory practices. The plaintiff therefore charges the defendant with violating the Equal Pay Act of 1963, as amended, Title VII of the Civil rights Act of 1964, as amended, and the applicable laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

#### Relief

Wherefore, the defendant has intentionally engaged in the unlawful employment practices charged in the plaintiff's complaint, the plaintiff requests that the court enjoin the defendant from engaging in such unlawful employment practices and order such affirmative action as the court deems appropriate.

Wherefore, the defendant's intentional unlawful employment practices have caused the plaintiff to lose wages, overtime, and retirement benefits, the plaintiff requests a judgment for back pay against the defendant for lost wages, overtime, and retirement benefits in the amount of \$3,690.

Wherefore, the defendant's agent, Mary Beckwith, has retaliated against the plaintiff for making sex discrimination complaints against her, making any productive and amicable future working relationship between the plaintiff and Ms. Beckwith impossible, the plaintiff requests a judgment against the defendant for front pay in the amount of \$45,760 for the plaintiff's future monetary losses.

Wherefore, the defendant's unlawful discriminatory practices were carried out with malice and reckless indifference to the plaintiff's federally protected rights, the plaintiff requests judgment against the defendant for punitive damages in the amount of \$200,000.

Wherefore, the defendant's unlawful employment practices have caused the plaintiff to make this complaint, the plaintiff requests judgment for all court fees and costs that may arise from this complaint.

The plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

David L. Fish Jr. Pro Se

63 Harriette Road

E. Falmouth, MA 02536

(508) 540-6014

Case 1:05-cv-11619-RGS Document 1-2 Filed 08/04/2005 Page 4 of 5

(TO PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY: PLEASE CIRCLE TYPE OF ACTION INVOLVED: CONTRACT TORT MOTOR VEHICLE TORT EQUITABLE RELIEF OTHER)

BARNSTABLE, ss.

ATRUFCOPY ATLEST

David L. Fish Jr.

vs.

Warine Biological Laboratory

SUMMONS

To the above-named defendant :

You are hereby summoned and required to serve upon David L. Fish Jr.

Pro Se

plaintiff's attorney, whose address is

63 Harriett Rd. E. Falmouth, MA 02536, an answer to the
complaint which is herewith served upon you, within 20 days after service of this summons upon you,
exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the
relief demanded in the complaint. You are also required to file your answer to the complaint in the office
of the Clerk of this court at Barnstable either before service upon plaintiff's attorney or within a reasonable
time thereafter.

Unless otherwise provided by Rule 13(a), your answer must state as a counterclaim any claim which you may have against the plaintiff which arises out of the transaction or occurrence that is the subject matter of the plaintiff's claim or you will thereafter be barred from making such claim in any other action.

Witness, BARBARA J. HOUSE Esquire, at Barnstable, the luelth day of July, in the year of our Lord two thousand and Five

NOTE: When more than one defendant is involved, the names of all defendants shall appear in the caption. If a separate summons is issued for each defendant, each should be addressed to the particular defendant.

orth W. Whickerson

#### NOTICE TO DEFENDANT

You need not appear personally in court to answer the complaint but if you claim to have a defense, either you or your attorney must serve a copy of your written answer within 20 days as specified herein and also file the original in the Clerk's office.

### PROOF OF SERVICE OF PROCESS

I hereby cer	rtify and return that o	onith a copy of the complaint in		, I served a copy
		r (see Mass. R. Civ. P. 4 (d) (1		the wintil-hamed
***************************************				
Dated:	<b>, 2</b> 0	***************************************		
N.B. TO PRO	CESS SERVER:			
<b>5.</b> 5. 6. 5				
		MAKE SERVICE ON DEF SERVED ON DEFENDAN		S BOX ON THE
•	<u> </u>	SELECTION OF PERCENTAGE	1.21	
		•		· <del></del>

# Case 1:05-cv-11619-RGS Document 1-3 Filed 08/04/2005 Page 1 of 2 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docket sheet. (SEE IN	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM	l.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS				
David L. Fish, Jr.		Marine Biologica	Marine Biological Laboratory				
•	of First Listed Plaintiff Barnstable  XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NOTE: IN LA	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Barnstable (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASSES IN FIRE NOTE: IN LATED CONDEMNATION GASES SUSE THE LOCATION OF THE LANGUNOL) ED.				
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)					
David L. Fish, Jr. (Pro Se 63 Harriett Road, E. Falm			Jonathan D. Rosenfeld, Julie Murphy Clinton, Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, 60 State Street, Boston, MA 02109				
II. BASIS OF JURISD		III. CITIZENSHIP OF P					
U.S. Government Plaintiff  U.S. Government (U.S. Government Not a Party)			PTF DEF PTF DEF				
O 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item)		Citizen of Another State				
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	0 6 0 6			
IV. NATURE OF SUI	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES			
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  362 Personal I  363 Personal I  365 Personal I  366 Med. Malpt  367 Personal I  368 Asbestos E  368 Asbestos E  19 injury Product  Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Product  Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  Product Liability  360 Other Personal  Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS  PRISONER PET  441 Voring  442 Employment  443 Housing/ Accommodations  444 Welfare  445 Amer. w/Disabilities -  Cother  440 Other Civil Rights	Injury - ractice njury - racti	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	d00 State Reapportionment d10 Antitrust d10 Antitrust d30 Banks and Banking d450 Commerce d60 Deportation d70 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations d80 Consumer Credit d90 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange D875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes			
Original 2 R	emoved from tate Court  Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which	Reinstated or Reopened (speci	al statutes unless diversity):	Judgment			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Title VII of the Civil Rights A	ct of 1964 and 29 U.S.C. 206(d)	(Federal Equal Pay Act)				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS AC UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	CTION DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:			
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	SIGNATURE	OF AFFORDEY OF RECORD					
RECEIPT#A	MOUNT APPLYING	IFP JUDGE	MAG. JUL	OGE			

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	Title of case (na	me of first party on each side only)	David L. Fish, Jr. v	v. Marine Biol	logical La	aboratory	
•			A Ver				
2.	Category in which	ch the case belongs based upon the	numbered nature of s	uit code listed o	n the civil	cover sheet	. (See local
	rule 40.1(a)(1)).						
	I.	160, 410, 470, 535, R.23, REGARI	DLESS OF NATURE OF	SUIT.			
	II.	195, 196, 368, 400, 440, 441-446, 5 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 85				e AO 120 or a demark or c	AO 121 opyright cases
	П ІІІ.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 380, 385, 450, 891.	360, 362, 365, 370, 371	•		Œ.	TOP
	UV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 460, 480, 490, 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 9	510, 530, 6 <b>10,</b> 2 <b>6</b> 630, 900.	, 640, 650, 660,		19	R
	v.	150, 152, 153.					
3.		er, if any, of related cases. (See loca andicate the title and number of the fi			elated cas	se has been f	iled in this
4.	Has a prior action	on between the same parties and ba	sed on the same claim	ever been filed	in this cou	urt?	
				YES	NO	~	
5.	Does the compli	aint in this case question the consti	tutionality of an act of o	congress affecti	ing the pui	blic interest?	(See 28 USC
		A	4th 110 40	YES	NO	~	
	ii so, is the o.s.	A. or an officer, agent or employee o	or the U.S. a party?	YES	NO		
£	le this case ross	ired to be beard and determined by	a district sourt of three			20 USC 5220	40
6.	is this case requ	ired to be heard and determined by	a district court or times	YES TO	NO	20 USC 9220	4 (
_				L			
7.	Do <u>all</u> of the par Massachusetts	ties in this action, excluding govern ("governmental agencies"), residin	nmental agencies of the g in Massachusetts res	e united states a ide in the same	and the Co division?	mmonwealti - (See Local	h of I Rule 40.1(d)).
				YES 🗸	NO		
	A.	If yes, in which division do all of	the non-governmental	parties reside?		Variable	
		Eastern Division	Central Division		Wes	tern Division	
	В.	If no, in which division do the ma residing in Massachusetts reside		or the only partic	es, exclud	ing governm	ental agencies,
		Eastern Division	Central Division		Wes	tern Division	
8.		of Removal - are there any motions te sheet identifying the motions)	pending in the state co	ourt requiring th	e attentio	n of this Cou	rt? (If yes,
				YES	NO	~	
(PI	LEASE TYPE OR I	PRINT)					
		Jonathan D. Rosenfeld, Esq	ı., Julie Murphy Clin	ton, Esq.			
ADDRESS Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP, 60 State Street, Boston, MA 02109							
TELEPHONE NO. (617) 526-6000							
						(CategoryFor	m.wpd - 5/2/05)